

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

ANTHONY LEE ANDERSON, #1240398 §  
VS. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:14cv743  
DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID §

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Petitioner Anthony Lee Anderson, an inmate confined at the Coffield Unit of the Texas prison system, proceeding *pro se*, filed the above-styled and numbered petition for a writ of habeas corpus challenging a prison disciplinary case. The petition was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell, who issued a Report and Recommendation concluding that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be denied. Petitioner has filed objections.

“Federal habeas relief cannot be had absent the allegation by a plaintiff that he or she has been deprived of some right secured to him or her by the United States Constitution or the laws of the United States.” *Malchi v. Thaler*, 211 F.3d 953, 957 (5th Cir. 2000) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted). The punishment Petitioner received in the disciplinary case did not involve a protected liberty interest; thus, his disciplinary case did not involve a right secured by the United States Constitution or the laws of the United States. A protected liberty interest is at stake if and only if a petitioner loses good time and is eligible for release on mandatory supervision. *See Madison v. Parker*, 104 F.3d 765, 769 (5th Cir. 1997). Petitioner did not lose any good time. Federal habeas corpus relief is unavailable with respect to the disciplinary case due to the absence of a protected liberty interest.

The Report of the Magistrate Judge, which contains her proposed findings of fact and recommendations for the disposition of such action, has been presented for consideration, and having made a *de novo* review of the objections raised by Petitioner to the Report, the Court is of the

opinion that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and the objections of Petitioner are without merit. Therefore the Court hereby adopts the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge as the findings and conclusions of the Court. It is accordingly

**ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (docket entry #4) is **ADOPTED**. It is further

**ORDERED** that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus is **DENIED** and the case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice. A certificate of appealability is **DENIED**. All motions not previously ruled on are hereby **DENIED**.

**It is SO ORDERED.**

**SIGNED this 7th day of October, 2014.**



---

MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE